Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Submission Form Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): UN-WAW04-602

on La Grande Ranger District	Plant Species	S. County: Official	
4. Project Sponsor: Arlene Blumton		5. Date: November 2002	
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: 541-962-8522			
7. Sponsors E-mail: ablumton@fs.fed.us			
8. Project Location			
a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #: Upper 0	Grande Ronde River 1	7060104	
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if know River/Hilgard 1706010487, Meadow Ck 1706010			
c. Location: Township 2,3,4,5,6 Range 33,34,35 Township 4,5 Range 40,41,42 Township Range Sec Sec Township Range Sec Range Sec Township Range Sec Range Sec Township Range Sec Range Sec	tion(s) tion(s) tion(s)		
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area		
f. National Forest Wallowa-Whitman	National Forest Wallowa-Whitman g. Forest Service District La Grande Ranger District		
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? X Yes	No		

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: The Native Seed Project is a continuation of a multipartner and multi-phase effort started in 2001 with RAC funding supporting project work in 2002 and 2003. This project proposes continued use and development of native seed on restoration projects in coordination with local producers and users located within the Grande Ronde Valley. Objectives include locating, mapping, collecting and propagation of native plant seed and materials to be used on restoration projects. In 2002, contracts were awarded to 3 local grass producers to have seed available in fall 2003. This is a 2-year contract that allows purchase of additional seed for use in fall 2004. Currently, 4 grass species are well represented; the next phase includes expanding the collection of seed species to accommodate a wider range of habitats. This project is facilitating the development of reasonably priced sources of native plant materials and continues to provide local landowners with an opportunity to expand and diversify the use of their land.

10. Project Description:

Phase I (2001):

- Partnered with Umatilla NF to develop a propagation contract.
- Located, mapped, and collected native grass seed and hardwoods from various local sites.

Phase II (2002):

- Developed a new propagation contract limited to the growers within the Grande Ronde Valley.
- Sowed with seed collected in 2000, 2001 and 2002.
- Mapped new seed collection sites and collected from these sites and those identified in 2001.
- Three of eight grass species identified for collection and propagation are under contract.
- Native grass seed was provided for the following restoration projects: McIntyre County and Dry Beaver road rehabilitation, site restoration in noxious weed infested areas, and campground restoration.
- Provided experience and training for youth groups.

Phase III (2003)

- Continue to identify populations and collect seed for propagation on the existing contracts.
- Continue to support restoration projects by providing native plant species.
- Strengthen the in-house education program of using native species in restoration projects by developing guidelines for application.

Phase IV (2004 – 2006)

- Expand to include hardwoods
- In 2004 solicit new bids from local growers for grass.
- Produced seed is made available for site specific ground applications including revegetation projects such as road rehabilitation, stream restoration, reseeding wildfire areas, noxious weed prevention, soil erosion control, and wetland enhancement
- Work will be accomplished using contracts, YCC crews, volunteers, local clubs and Forest Service crews.
- Monitoring to determine application rate and species adaptability and restoration success. Determine if protection measures are needed.
- Develop an educational program for the public and local growers and expand local interest.

11. Coordination	of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?		
X Yes No If yes, then describe Native seed is made available to private landowners, other agencies, including County, State and Federal agencies.			
12. How does pro	pposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]		
☐ Improves maint	enance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]		
X Implements stev	vardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]		
X Restores and im	proves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]		
X Restores water	quality. [Sec. 2(b)]		

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]		
Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]		
Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]	
Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	
Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]	
X Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]		
Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:		
14 Magging of Project Accountishments/Europe	And Outnown of the angle of the	
14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]		
a. Rehabilitated acres =200	b. Total Miles:	
b. Production acres (private landowners)=100		
c. Pounds produced=8,000-15,000		
c. No. Structures:	d. Est. People Reached	
e. No. Laborer Days: 100 days	(for environmental education projects): 1,000	
f. Other (specify):		

15. Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

This phase of the project's funding will be completed in October 2004.

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable) (max. 7 lines)

Reestablishment of native grasses, hardwoods, and wetland vegetation. This project indirectly benefits wildlife and fish species including deer and elk and nongame species.

- **17.** How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3) Facilitate partnerships between growers, agencies, researchers and individuals in public land management.
- **18.** How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities. Community involvement in restoring public lands, providing local employment opportunities, and ongoing partnerships.
- **19.** How does project benefit federal lands/resources? (max. 12 lines)Disturbed sites will be restored by using native vegetation and benefit soil, wildlife, and fish resources.

20. Status of Project Planning				
a. NEPA Complete:	X Yes	☐ No		
If no, give est. date of completion:			'	
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	X Yes	☐ No		
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	X Yes	☐ No		
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable	
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable	
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable	
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	X Yes	☐ No	☐ Not Applicable	
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	X Yes	☐ No		
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer				
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (check those that apply)				
X Contract	X Contract X Federal Workforce			
X County Workforce	X Volunteers	Volunteers		
X Other (specify): YCC				
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)] X Yes No				
23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]				
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested				
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? X Yes No	If yes, then displa	y by fiscal yea	ar	
c. FY02 Request: \$29,250	f. FY05 Request	. \$ 30,000		
	1. F103 Request	. ψ 50,000		
d. FY03 Request: \$42,750	g. FY06 Request:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column D Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$8,000	\$ 6,808	\$8,000	\$22,808
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation	\$1,000			\$1,000
29. Contract Administration	\$4,750	\$ 1,000		\$5,750
30. Contract Cost		\$14,808*	\$10,000	\$24,808
31. Workforce Cost (YCC)	\$1,000	\$ 3,000	\$1,000	\$5,000
32. Materials & Supplies (plants and plant protection materials)		\$ 2,000	\$2,000	\$4,000
33. Monitoring	\$1,000	\$ 2,000	\$1,000	\$4,000
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total	\$15,750	\$30,000	\$22,000	\$67,750
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8% (per year for multi-year projects)	\$ 1,250	\$ 2,400		\$ 3,650
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$17,000	\$*32,400	\$22,000	\$71,400

^{*}This is an indefinite quantities contract, therefore, if full funding is unavailable reduced seed amounts would be ordered.

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines) Grande Ronde Model Watershed, Federal Highway Division, BPA, National Fire Plan, Forest Service appropriated dollars

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines)
 Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:
 The US Forest Service will monitor project effectiveness using photo points and County Extension and private landowners will monitor quality control of seed and plant materials produced. Photo points, observation, establishment surveys will be conducted to determine survival and growth.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines) Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: The workforce includes local contractors,

Union County private landowners and YCC. Supplies and materials are purchased locally. The Forest Service is responsible for developing contracts.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] (max. 7 lines)The project does not produce a product to be removed from the National Forest, however, seed production on private land will be utilized on Federal and private lands.

Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: US Forest Service

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33) (max. 7 lines)

Amount \$4,000 (\$2,000 County Title II funds)

Project Name: <u>Re-establishing Native Plant Species</u> <u>on La Grande Ranger District</u>

County Commissioner Concurrence

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 Advisory Council and agree with the proposelow:	County 3 project for the osal as submitted, except for the comments noted
Attested by Commissioner	Date
Priority Rating:	
☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low	
Comments/Rational·	